

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

Supplementary Report on correspondence received since the publication of the report relating to applications being considered at the meeting of the Planning Applications Committee on 30 May 2007.

LW/07/0099 Page 8
Kingston

Officers recommend that the application is deferred. It is expected that the application will be reported to the next meeting.

LW/07/0293 Page 15
Peacehaven

Paragraph 4 should read **Peacehaven Town Council**, not Seaford Town Council.

LW/07/0431 Page 25
Lewes

Comments received from the South Downs Joint Committee – the design does not reflect local building styles and materials and it does not address the road. However, it is understood that the frontage hedge is to be retained and the extent of zinc roofing on the south east elevation is less than originally proposed. Accordingly, it is no longer considered that the impact is unacceptable and the previous objection of the Joint Committee is withdrawn.

Letter received from applicant which has been sent to all Members of the Planning Committee which confirms the extent of amendments that have been made to the proposal since the previous scheme was withdrawn.

Officer Comments - The highway visibility improvements required by the outline planning permission have been completed, inspected and approved on site. A new planting scheme has been agreed with the Council's Tree & Landscape Officer and condition 5 should be amended as necessary to require the implementation of such planting within the next planting season. Condition 13 should be deleted as the Council's Principal Environmental Health Officer has confirmed that there is to be adequate sound insulation to the property and an acoustic survey will not be necessary.

LW/07/0368 Page 36
Seaford

Amended plans have been received with the rear extension having a flat roof, as mentioned in paragraphs 1.3 and 6.2 of the report. However, the height of the extension would be 3m and it would therefore be higher than referred to in paragraphs 1.3 and 6.2, and larger and higher than the existing conservatory (also as referred to in paragraph 6.2).

The occupier of the adjacent property 'The Barn' has raised strong concerns that she has not had sufficient time to consider, and respond to, the amended plan showing the flat roofed extension. She points out that, after receiving a copy of the plan on Saturday 26 May, there was only one full working day before the meeting. The occupier has since verbally reiterated her objections, that the extension would be overlarge, overbearing and unneighbourly, particularly since main windows in 'The Barn' would face the extension.

LW/07/0374 **Page 40**
Seaford

Amended plan received showing a revised parking layout in front of the proposed building. The Highway Authority has indicated that the layout is acceptable in highway terms and that the reason for refusal no. 2 in the report could therefore be withdrawn.

Agents on behalf of the applicant have submitted a coloured drawing of the front elevation and ground floor plan, and a "photographic profile of East Albany Road". They request that the profile be circulated to the Committee when the application is considered.

LW/07/0379 **Page 45**
Seaford

Two further letters received from objectors who previously submitted objections, largely reiterating earlier points. The main grounds are summarised as follows:

- That the present owners have almost continually developed the site since taking possession by adding outbuildings
- That the need for live-in 'carers' is questionable and that the term may be used to evoke a sympathetic reaction to the application
- That the extension could be used to accommodate a whole family, turning a single dwelling into two independent dwellings
- That the extension is unneighbourly as it would be near to adjacent properties in Willow Drive
- The extension would overdevelop the site
- That the roof line, although lowered, would still cast a heavy shadow over 9 – 13 Willow Drive in the winter months when the sun is low.

The agent has stated that the applicants "are whilst reasonably able bodied (and are past retirement age) they are aware that their current levels of ability to care for themselves are decreasing and will become an issue in the foreseeable future. In order that they can stay in the property in future they will require increasing levels of care, in which end they are providing facilities to enable this to happen".